STATE OF ARIZONA

## STATE OF ARIZONA

SEP 1 5 1995

# DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

DEP	ARTMENT	<b>DF</b>	INSURANCE
Ву		$\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$	INSURANCE

In the Matter of:

CUMIS INSURANCE SOCIETY, INC.

Docket No. 95-213

CONSENT ORDER

Respondent.

The Department of Insurance (the "Department") conducted a market conduct examination of CUMIS Insurance Society, Inc.NAIC #10847 (referred to as "CUMIS" and as "Respondent"), by Market Conduct Examiners ("the Examiners"). The Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of CUMIS (the "Report") alleges that CUMIS has violated A.R.S. §§ 20-400.01, 20-461, 20-1631, 20-1632, 20-1632.01, 20-1654, and A.A.C. R20-6-801 (formerly A.A.C. R4-14-801).

CUMIS wishes to resolve matter this without formal adjudicative proceedings and agrees to this Consent Order.

The Director of Insurance of the State of Arizona (the "Director") enters the following Findings ofFact and Conclusions of Law, which are neither admitted nor denied by CUMIS, and the following Order.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- CUMIS is authorized to transact property and casualty insurance pursuant to a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.
- 2. The Examiners were authorized by the Director to conduct an examination of CUMIS, and completed the on-site review on October 28, 1994. The on-site examination covered

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

12

15

14

17

16

18

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

policies issued and claims with dates of loss from January 1, 1990 through August 31, 1994.

- 3. The Examiners reviewed 10 of the 80 in-force Form 581 discovery bonds issued by CUMIS. Of the 10, Respondents applied a credit to one bond (10%) which was less than those determined using filed rates and rules.
- 4. The Examiners reviewed 9 commercial package policies issued by CUMIS. Of the 9, CUMIS rated 2 policies (22%) using package modifiers other than those filed with the Department.
- During the period covered by the examination, CUMIS cancelled ornon-renewed a total of 2,728 personal auto policies. Of these, 236 were cancelled for reasons other than 13 nonpayment of premium.
  - The Examiners reviewed 26 policies which were a. cancelled or non-renewed for nonpayment of premium. CUMIS failed to notify all of these insureds (100%) of their right to complain to the Director of the cancellations.
  - b. The Examiners reviewed 87 of the policies which CUMIS cancelled or non-renewed for reasons other than nonpayment of premium. CUMIS failed to advise all of these insureds (100%) of their right to complain to the Director and of their possible eligibility for insurance through the assigned risk plan, and failed to provide refunds of unearned premium in accompaniment with all of these notices of cancellation.
  - The Examiners reviewed 63 policies that had been in effect for more than 60 days and were then cancelled or non-renewed for reasons other than nonpayment of premium. Of

9

11

14

18 19

20

21 22

231 24

25

28

 $\mathbf{1}||_{ ext{the}}$  63, CUMIS cancelled three policies (4.8%) for grounds other 2 than those permitted by A.R.S. § 20-1631(C).

- d. CUMIS cancelled or non-renewed four policies that 4 had been in effect for more than 60 days prior to cancellation 5 or non-renewal because a person other than the named insured was 6 implicated in one or more of the incidents listed in A.R.S.  $7||\S20-1631(C)(3)$ , and did not provide the named insured opportunity to exclude the person from coverage.
- 6. Examiners reviewed all The 24 ofthe homeowners 10 policies cancelled or non-renewed by CUMIS for underwriting reasons. Of these, CUMIS failed to notify two insureds (8.3%) of the non-renewal of their policies at least 30 days prior to expiration date.
- 7. The Examiners reviewed all 43 of the first-party 15 personal automobile total loss claims for the period January 1, 16 1990 through August 31, 1994. CUMIS elected to make cash 17 settlements in all of these cases. The Examiners criticized 30 of these files (70%).
  - In 30 of the files (70%), the Examiners found that CUMIS failed to pay its insureds all applicable taxes, license fees and other fees incident to transfer of ownership necessary to purchase a comparable automobile of the actual cash value of the insureds' vehicles. CUMIS underpaid these claimants a total of \$1021.29.
  - On 11 of these criticized files (25.6%), CUMIS did not base the actual cash value of each automobile on either the cost of a comparable automobile in the local market area or on one of two or more dealer quotations, and failed to support

5

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

**2**3 24

26

25

27 28 the deviation methods by from these documentation giving particulars of the automobiles' condition. As a result, these claimants were underpaid, including underpayment of sales taxes on the additional cash value, by a total of \$3,528.00.

8. CUMIS failed to use either the cost of a comparable automobile in the local market area or on one of two or more dealer quotations as a basis for the actual cash value of one commercial first party total loss automobile, and did not document the deviation from this method. On the same claim, CUMIS failed to pay any of the applicable sales taxes and license fees due. The claimant was underpaid by \$120.20.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. By failing to issue policies of commercial insurance with premiums developed in a manner consistent with its filings pursuant to A.R.S. §20-385, CUMIS violated A.R.S. § 20-400.01(A).
- By failing to notify personal automobile insureds whose policies were cancelled or non-renewed for nonpayment of premium of the right to complain to the Director, CUMIS violated A.R.S. § 20-1632.01(B).
- 3. By failing to advise personal automobile insureds whose policies were cancelled or non-renewed for reasons other than nonpayment of premium of the right to complain to the Director and of possible eligibility for insurance through the assigned risk plan, CUMIS violated A.R.S. § 20-1632(B).
- By failing to provide refunds of unearned premium in accompaniment with the notices of cancellation of personal automobile policies cancelled for reasons other than nonpayment of premium, CUMIS violated A.R.S. § 20-1632(C).

11

10

13

15

18

20

22

**2**3 24

25

26 27

28

- cancelling or non-renewing personal automobile  $2|_{
  m policies}$  which were in effect for more than 60 days for reasons not consistent with A.R.S. § 20-1631(C), CUMIS violated A.R.S. § 20-1631(C).
- 6. By cancelling or non-renewing personal auto policies 6 without providing the named insureds the opportunity to exclude 7||from coverage the persons who were implicated in one or more of 8| the incidents listed in A.R.S. § 20-1631(C)(3), CUMIS violated 9 A.R.S. § 20-1631(D).
  - 7. By failing to notify insureds of the non-renewal of homeowner policies at least 30 days prior to expiration date, CUMIS violated A.R.S. § 20-1654(A).
- 8. By failing to pay the full amount of sales taxes and 14 license fees required for the purchase of comparable automobiles to first-party claimants in their settlement of first-party total loss 16 | automobile claims, CUMIS violated A.A.C. |R20-6-801(H)(1)(b)| and A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(6).
  - 9. By using a method other than one of two dealer quotations or a comparable car to determine the actual cash value of first party total loss automobiles, and failing to document the deviation from these methods, CUMIS violated A.A.C. R20-6-801(H)(1)(c) and A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(6).
    - 10. Grounds exist for the entry of the following Order.

### ORDER

CUMIS, having admitted the jurisdiction of the Director to enter this Order, having waived the Notice of Hearing and the hearing, having waived any and all rights to appeal this Order,

10

14

18

20

21

23

25

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. CUMIS shall cease and desist from failing to:
- issue commercial policies of insurance with 6 premiums developed in a manner consistent with its filings pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-385;
- notify personal automobile insureds of the right 9||to complain to the Director upon cancellation of the policies;
- provide refunds of unearned premium and notices C. 11 that the insured may qualify for the assigned risk plan with 12 notices ofcancellation οf personal automobile policies 13 cancelled for reasons other than nonpayment of premium;
- d. provide the named insured the opportunity to 15 exclude the person who violated the provisions of A.R.S. § 16 20-1631(C) from coverage prior to cancelling the named insured's 17 personal automobile policy;
  - f. notify insureds of the non-renewal of homeowner policies for reasons other than nonpayment of premium at least 30 days prior to expiration date;
  - pay the full amount of sales taxes and license g. fees required for the purchase of comparable automobiles to first-party claimants in their settlement of first-party automobile total loss claims.
- base the actual cash value of first party total h. loss automobiles upon the cost of comparable cars in the local 27 market area or one of two or more dealer quotations, and support

]

2

any deviation from these methods by documentation giving particulars of the automobiles' condition.

- 2. Within 30 days of the filed date of this Order, CUMIS shall submit to the Director a written action plan to provide ongoing training of all claims personnel, including independent adjusters, in the requirements of A.A.C. R20-6-801(H) pertaining to the settlement of automobile insurance claims. The action plan shall include copies of any bulletins to be sent to adjusters and other claims personnel.
- 3. Within 60 days of the filed date of this Order, CUMIS shall pay to the claimants listed in Exhibit 9 of the Report of Examination (attached as Exhibit A) a total of \$4,549.29, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum calculated from the date each claim was received by the insured to the date of this payment. Each payment shall be accompanied by a letter to the insured acceptable to the Director. A list of payments giving the name and address of each party to whom payments were made, the base amount of each payment, the amount of interest paid, and the date of payment, shall be provided to the ADOI when all payments have been made.
- 4. The Department shall be permitted, through authorized representatives, to verify that CUMIS has fully complied with all requirements of this Order.
- 5. CUMIS shall pay a civil penalty of \$11,000 to the Director for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. §20-220 (B). This civil penalty shall be provided to the Examinations Division of the ADOI on or before August 31, 1995.

The October 28, 1994 Report of Examination, and any 2 objections to the Report submitted by CUMIS, shall be filed with 3|| the Department on the date this Order is filed by the Director. 5 DATED at Phoenix, Arizona this 15th day of September ,1995. Chris Herstam Director of Insurance

3

5

### CONSENT TO ORDER

- 1. Respondent, CUMIS Insurance Society, Inc., has reviewed the attached Order.
- 2. Respondent is aware of its right to a hearing at which hearing Respondent may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent irrevocably waives its right both to demand a public hearing and to seek judicial review of this Consent Order.
- 3. Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, and consents to the entry of this Order.
- 4. Respondent states that no promise of any kind or nature has been made to induce it to enter into this Order and that it has entered into this Order voluntarily.
- 5. Respondent acknowledges that the acceptance of this Order by the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, is solely to settle this matter against it and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or subdivision from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- 6. Robert A. Miller holds the office of Vice President Internal Audit of CUMIS Insurance Society, Inc., and is authorized to enter into this Order on its behalf.

August 30, 1995 (Date) CUMIS INSURANCE SOCIETY, INC.

1 COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 15th day of September 1999 2 September , 1995, to: day of 3 Charles R. Cohen Deputy Director 4 Gregory Y. Harris Executive Assistant Director 5 Lewis D. Kowal Chief Administrative Law Judge 6 Erin H. Klug Executive Assistant to the Director 7 Jimmy R. Potts Examinations Coordinator 8 Market Conduct Examinations Division Saul R. Saulson 9 Examinations Supervisor Market Conduct Examinations Division 10 Mary Butterfield Assistant Director 11 Life & Health Division Deloris E. Williamson 12 Assistant Director Rates & Regulations Division 13 Gary Torticill Assistant Director and Chief Financial Examiner Corporate & Financial Affairs Division 14 Cathy O'Neil Assistant Director 15 Consumer Services and Investigations Dean Ehler 16 Supervisor 17 Property and Casualty Section Maureen Catalioto Supervisor 18 Licensing Section 19 DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE 20 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85018 21 Robert A. Miller 22Vice President -- Internal Audit CUMIS Insurance Society, Inc. 23 P.O. Box 1084 Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1084 24 25 26 27